CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP

FRANKFURT HOUSTON ISTANBUL

MILAN MUSCAT PARIS

LONDON STAMFORD
MEXICO CITY WASHINGTON, D.C.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW
IOI PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK IOI78-0061

USDSMEDRY 697-1559
E-MAIL INFO@CM-P.COM
DOCUMENT.CM-P.COM
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:

DATE FILED

MEMO ENDORSED

BY HAND

Hon. P. Kevin Castel, U.S.D.J. Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St., Room 2260 New York, NY 10007

> Re: Gottdiener v. Friedman et al., Case No. 07 Civ 79436 (PKC)

Dear Judge Castel:

Friedman et al.

Civ 79436 (PKC)

counsel to the parties in the above-referenced action. Very the Order Scheduling Initial Pretrial Conference issued

December 6, 2007

The undersigned are counsel to the parties in the above-referenced action. We submit this joint letter in response to the Order Schedding Initial Pretrial Conference issued by your Honor. That order calls for an initial conferences to be held on Friday, December 14, 2007, at 9:30 a.m. By this letter, we respectfully request that the initial conference be adjourned until at least February 8, 2008. The reasons for this request are as follows:

This case is a derivative action against officers and directors of Tarragon Corporation ("Tarragon"). The plaintiff is a Tarragon shareholder and Tarragon itself is a nominal defendant. The Complaint alleges that defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Tarragon by failing to implement or ensure compliance with adequate administrative and financial controls which, *inter alia*, resulted in the Company issuing material misstatements in its public filings. The underlying factual allegations contained in the Complaint involve allegations made in the securities class actions involving Tarragon that are pending before your Honor, *i.e.*, Judelson v. Tarragon Corporation et al., Case No. 07 Civ 7972 (PKC), Berger v. Tarragon Corporation et al., Case No. 07 Civ 8689 (PKC), and Nelson v. Tarragon Corporation et al., Case No. 07 Civ 8438 (PKC) (collectively, the "Tarragon securities class actions").

The parties have entered into a stipulation extending defendants' time to answer the Complaint. (That stipulation was so ordered by your Honor yesterday.) The dates contained in the Stipulation and Order correspond to the dates provided for in the Stipulations and Orders regarding defendants' time to respond that were signed by your Honor in the Tarragon securities class actions. The Stipulation and Order in this action provides that defendants are not requird to

CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW

Page 2

Hon. P. Kevin Castel, U.S.D.J. December 6, 2007

respond to the Complaint in this action until 45 days after the later of (i) a consolidated class action complaint filed in the securities class action, (ii) the filing and service of an amended complaint in this action, or (iii) May 15, 2008. In addition, the Stipulation and Order provides for discovery in this action to be coordinated with discovery in the Tarragon securities class actions.

Given the current procedural posture of this action, the parties respectfully request that the initial case management conferences be adjourned until at least February 8, 2008, the date on which you Honor has scheduled the initial conference in the Tarragon securities class actions. Because the parties have agreed to coordinate discovery in this action with discovery in the Tarragon securities class actions will likely be stayed during the pendency of motions to dismiss (pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(b)(3)), the parties agree that drafting a Case Management Plan would be premature at this point.

Respectfully,

Eliot Lauer

Theresa A. Foudy

Daniel R. Marcus

CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,

1 AM

COLT & MOSLE LLP

Attorneys for Defendants

William S. Friedman, Robert P. Rothenberg,

Willie K. Davis, Lance Liebman,

Lawrence G. Schafran, Raymond V.J. Schrag,

Carl B. Weisbrod, Richard S. Frary,

Martha E. Stark, Robert C. Rohdie,

Erin D. Pickens, and

Tarragon Corporation

Joshua M. Lifshitz Peter D. Bull

BULL & LIFSHITZ, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ernest Gottdiener curtis, mallet-prevost, colt & mosle llp
Attorneys and Counsellors at Law

Page 2

Hon. P. Kevin Castel, U.S.D.J. December 6, 2007

class actions. The Stipulation and Order in this action provides that defendants are not requird to respond to the Complaint in this action until 45 days after the later of (i) a consolidated class action complaint filed in the securities class action, (ii) the filing and service of an amended complaint in this action, or (iii) May 15, 2008. In addition, the Stipulation and Order provides for discovery in this action to be coordinated with discovery in the Tarragon securities class actions.

Given the current procedural posture of this action, the parties respectfully request that the initial case management conferences be adjourned until at least February 8, 2008, the date on which you Honor has scheduled the initial conference in the Tarragon securities class actions. Because the parties have agreed to coordinate discovery in this action with discovery in the Tarragon securities class actions, and because discovery in the Tarragon securities class actions will likely be stayed during the pendency of motions to dismiss (pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(b)(3)), the parties agree that drafting a Case Management Plan would be premature at this point.

Respectfully,

Eliot Lauer
Theresa A. Foudy
Daniel R. Marcus
CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,
COLT &MOSLE LLP

Attorneys for Defendants
William S. Friedman, Robert P. Rothenberg,
Willie K. Davis, Lance Liebman,
Lawrence G. Schafran, Raymond V.J. Schrag,
Carl B. Weisbrod, Richard S. Frary,
Martha E. Stark, Robert C. Rohdie,
Erin D. Pickens, and
Tarragon Corporation

Joshua M. Lifshitz Peter D. Bull

BULL & LIFSHITZ, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ernest Gottdiener